

RIPE

Controlled IPv6 deaggregation by large organizations

RIPE 69

3 november 2014, London

Ijitsch van Beijnum



The IPv6 routing table today

- Size of the routing table:
 - Currently ~ 19000 prefixes
 - Growing at about 4000 prefixes/year
- However, more specifics are growing at 57% per year:
 - Jan 2013: 3049 of 11500: 27%
 - Jan 2014: 4799 of 16100: 29%

Source: <http://www.potaroo.net/presentations/2014-02-09-bgp2013.pdf>



An example... (1)

```
* 2001:2B8::/32      0 6939 9957 17832 i
* 2001:2B8:2::/48   0 6939 9957 17832 i
* 2001:2B8:11::/48  0 6939 9957 17832 i
* 2001:2B8:16::/48  0 6939 9957 17832 i
* 2001:2B8:17::/48  0 6939 9957 17832 i
* 2001:2B8:19::/48  0 6939 9957 17832 i
* 2001:2B8:20::/48  0 6939 9957 17832 i
* 2001:2B8:21::/48  0 6939 9957 17832 i
* 2001:2B8:22::/48  0 6939 9957 17832 i
* 2001:2B8:26::/48  0 6939 9957 17832 i
* 2001:2B8:28::/48  0 6939 9957 17832 i
* 2001:2B8:30::/48  0 6939 9957 17832 i
* 2001:2B8:31::/48  0 6939 9957 17832 i
* 2001:2B8:32::/48  0 6939 9957 17832 i
* 2001:2B8:35::/48  0 6939 9957 17832 i
* 2001:2B8:36::/48  0 6939 9957 17832 i
```



An example... (2)

```
* 2001:2B8:37::/48 0 6939 9957 17832 i
* 2001:2B8:39::/48 0 6939 9957 17832 i
* 2001:2B8:40::/48 0 6939 9957 17832 i
* 2001:2B8:43::/48 0 6939 9957 17832 i
* 2001:2B8:45::/48 0 6939 9957 17832 i
* 2001:2B8:48::/48 0 6939 9957 17832 i
* 2001:2B8:49::/48 0 6939 9957 17832 i
* 2001:2B8:50::/48 0 6939 9957 17832 i
* > 2001:2B8:51::/48 0 6939 9957 17832 i
* > 2001:2B8:52::/48 0 6939 9957 17832 i
* > 2001:2B8:53::/48 0 6939 9957 17832 i
* 2001:2B8:90::/48 0 6939 9957 17832 1237 i
* 2001:2B8:94::/48 0 6939 9957 17832 1237 i
* 2001:2B8:9A::/48 0 6939 9957 17832 1237 i
* 2001:2B8:9C::/48 0 6939 9957 17832 1237 i
* 2001:2B8:9D::/48 0 6939 9957 17832 1237 i
```



An example... (3)

```
* 2001:2B8:A0::/48 0 6939 9957 17832 1237 i
* 2001:2B8:A4::/48 0 6939 9957 17832 1237 i
* 2001:2B8:B0::/48 0 6939 9957 17832 1237 i
* 2001:2B8:B2::/48 0 6939 9957 17832 1237 i
* 2001:2B8:B4::/48 0 6939 9957 17832 1237 i
* 2001:2B8:B6::/48 0 6939 9957 17832 1237 i
* 2001:2B8:B8::/48 0 6939 9957 17832 1237 i
* 2001:2B8:BA::/48 0 6939 9957 17832 1237 i
* 2001:2B8:BC::/48 0 6939 9957 17832 1237 i
* 2001:2B8:BE::/48 0 6939 9957 17832 1237 i
* 2001:2B8:C0::/48 0 6939 9957 17832 1237 i
* 2001:2B8:C2::/48 0 6939 9957 17832 1237 i
* 2001:2B8:C4::/48 0 6939 9957 17832 1237 i
* 2001:2B8:C6::/48 0 6939 9957 17832 1237 i
* 2001:2B8:C8::/48 0 6939 9957 17832 1237 i
* 2001:2B8:CA::/48 0 6939 9957 17832 1237 i
```



An example... (4)

```
* 2001:2B8:CC::/48 0 6939 9957 17832 1237 i
* 2001:2B8:CE::/48 0 6939 9957 17832 1237 i
* 2001:2B8:D0::/48 0 6939 9957 17832 1237 i
* 2001:2B8:D2::/48 0 6939 9957 17832 1237 i
* 2001:2B8:D4::/48 0 6939 9957 17832 1237 i
* 2001:2B8:D6::/48 0 6939 9957 17832 1237 i
* 2001:2B8:DC::/48 0 6939 9957 17832 1237 i
* 2001:2B8:E6::/48 0 6939 9957 17832 1237 i
* 2001:2B8:ED::/48 0 6939 9957 17832 1237 i
* 2001:2B8:EF::/48 0 6939 9957 17832 1237 i
* 2001:2B8:F2::/48 0 6939 9957 17832 i
* 2001:2B8:200::/48 0 6939 9957 17832 i
* 2001:2B8:380::/48 0 6939 9957 17832 1237 i
```



An example... (5)

```
inet6num:      2001:02B8::/32
netname:       NGINET-KRNIC-KR-20010115
descr:        NGInet(Next Generation Internet Network) is
              the national-wide
descr:        Internet service provider for public
              organizations
country:       KR
```



What is this?

- Traditionally, types of addresses:
 - Provider Aggregatable (PA): used by ISPs
 - Provider Independent (PI): used by end users
- However, large organizations find it useful to have one big PA-like prefix
- But: their offices connect to different ISPs!
 - because they operate in many countries
 - or they have largely independent subunits

So: deaggregation

- So organizations such as:
 - Big multinationals
 - Governments
- Become "enterprise LIRs" and obtain a PA prefix
- Then subunits advertise deaggregates / more specifics of that PA block
 - Towards different ISPs
 - In different locations

Is this a problem for the internet community?

- Not today!
 - IPv6 table is still small
- But people get large blocks so possible to source many deaggregates
 - No obvious way to filter on prefix length
- IPv6 is going to be around for a long time
- IPv4 has shown that mistakes early on are hard to clean up later

Does this work well for those organizations?

- Mostly
- However, deaggregates may be filtered
 - Filtering is inconsistent because there is no agreed "safe" prefix length for IPv6
 - (like /24 in IPv4)

The alternative: PI

- Having many deaggregates in the IPv6 routing table is not great
- But what if they request a PI prefix for each office/organizational subunit?
 - Same effect on the routing table
 - but no opportunities to filter/aggregate
 - Worse for the organization: no easy way to identify the organization's address space

What do we do?

- Nothing?
 - Suboptimal for routing table size
 - Suboptimal for the organizations involved
 - May even hinder IPv6 deployment?
- Start a conversation between enterprise LIRs and network operators?
 - Give enterprise LIRs guidance on what will work
 - Give network operators tools to control table size

The document

- You need a "draft" to get discussion in the IETF
 - So I wrote [draft-van-beijnum-grow-controlled-deagg-00](#)
 - started a discussion on the v6ops (IPv6 operations) and grow (global routing operations) working group mailing lists
- This does not mean the IETF is the best or only place to have this discussion
 - (But IETF is global, RIRs are regional...)



The idea

- Allow enterprise LIRs to set up an "aggregate of last resort" (AoLR)
 - So traffic has a place to go if deaggregates are filtered
- Tag deaggregates with BGP communities
 - Indicate that it's safe to filter if needed
 - Indicate where the deaggregate comes from
 - May want to allow "close" deaggregates but filter ones from far away

Aggregate of last resort

- ISP A injects the entire prefix in BGP
- ISPs B, C, D, ... (and maybe A) provide connectivity towards subunits of the organization
- B - D interconnect with A
- A accepts the deaggregates from B - D
- So the rest of the internet delivers packets to A
- A hands over the packets to B - D
 - so A only carries the packets a relatively short distance

Aggregate of last resort (2)

- This works well if A is a large world-wide network
- However, B - G can be smaller regional or national networks
- A would have to be paid to provide this service
 - But can now be held accountable!
- (Multiple ISPs can provide the AoLR service if desired)
- Rest of the internet can safely filter the deadendates

Location in BGP community

- A BGP "community" is simply a label attached to a prefix
 - 702:120 or NO_EXPORT
- In Europe we probably don't care about Korean deaggregates
- We Europeans just send the traffic in the general direction of Korea and once the packets get closer, the deaggregates will be there

Selective filtering

- Everyone decides which deaggregates to carry
 - Big routers? Maybe carry them all
 - Small routers? Maybe carry none of them
 - Regional network? Maybe only carry deaggregates announced in the region
 - World-wide network? Maybe each router only carries deaggregates announced in the same region
 - so the network as a whole carries all deaggregates
 - but individual routers don't

Questions?

