

WG Co-chair  
Selection & Removal

# General Guidelines

- Keep it **SIMPLE!**
- Be pragmatic and use common sense
- Apply fundamental RIPE principles:
  - Openness and transparency
  - The WG list is paramount
  - We decide by consensus
  - Keep “rules” and/or “process” to a minimum
- Bottom line: trust ourselves!

# The Proposal: Point 1

- The DNS WG will have  $N$  co-chairs.  $N$  will normally be 2 or 3, as determined by the WG

# The Proposal: Point 2

- A co-chair will serve a term of  $N$  years, where  $N$  is the number of co-chairs. Terms will be staggered so that one term expires every year. A co-chair cannot serve more than 2 consecutive terms.

# The Proposal: Point 3

- The WG will be given adequate notice that a co-chair's term is ending and to invite applications for that position. Anyone can volunteer for appointment.

# The Proposal: Point 4

- At the end of a co-chair's term, the WG will decide by consensus who is appointed to the available co-chair position. In the event of a tie, the consensus tied candidates will draw lots.

# The Proposal: Point 5

- The WG may decide by consensus to remove a WG co-chair at any time.

# The Proposal: Point 6

- Consensus will be determined on the DNS WG mailing list. The consensus judgement will be made by the serving WG co-chair(s) and will exclude the co-chair who is the subject of that consensus judgement.



# The Proposal: Point 7

- Any appeal over a consensus decision will be heard by the RIPE Chair (or their deputy) whose decision shall be final.

Comments?