# RIPE

### PP - 2014-12 Allow IPv6 Transfers

Presenter:

Erik Bais – ebais @a2b-internet.com



#### Policy proposal info

Author – Erik Bais

Current status: Open for Discussion

• Phase end: 28 November 2014



#### 2014 – 12 Policy proposal

 In short: Through the PDP process, allow IPv6 space to be transferred, just like IPv4 (PI & PA) in order to be able to maintain an updated registry.

 The current implementation doesn't allow IPv6 space to be transferred.



#### Why this proposal?

 Members have to return their (in-use?) IPv6 allocation if they want to merge LIR's...

- If a company would like to consolidate LIR's after a M&A, relocate their IP administration from entity A to Entity B, they would fall into the policy gap.

- As there is no infrastructure sold, it is not an M&A. Consolidation is not a transfer, but treated as such.



#### Why this proposal?

 When you ask the RIPE NCC to merge LIR's, you get asked:

 Company A will take over the LIR Account and IPv4 Allocations of Company A (no acquisition between the companies)

- This is the case in most of the consolidation cases.



#### The current issue

 So if a company with more than 1 LIR wants to close one of the LIR's via a merger, they are forced to hand back the IPv6 PA allocation from the closing LIR.

• Even if they have deployed IPv6, because it is not seen as a merger, it is treated as a transfer...

#### What is the goal of the company

 The goal is to reduce LIR's and list all number resources under 1 LIR.

 Move to a 1 Entity, 1 LIR, 1 Administration point situation.



#### Why does the RIPE NCC work like this?

 There is an operational procedure for M&A's which clearly states that infrastructure needs to be involved to be applicable as a M&A.

Solution for IPv4: tag the change as a transfer.

• Issue: There is no policy to transfer for IPv6, so you need to hand it back to the RIPE NCC.



#### What might be the result?

Some companies might get the funny idea to request their upstream to transfer their /48 to them.
-=> De-Aggregation

 Not doing transfers, doesn't stop Upstreams to not de-aggregate their announcements either, so impact to current practise, probably low.



#### What might be the result?

 Companies can consolidate their LIR's within a corporate structure, without the requirement to re-do their already implemented IPv6 implementation ...



#### The goal of this proposal is:

 To get IPv6 and IPv4 space on the same track and allow them both to be transferred.

 Don't make things more complicated than required.

 Avoid ways to work the system or policies that don't benefit registry accuracy.



#### What do you think?

In order to get your feedback on the topic :

Send your comments to
<ap-wg@ripe.net WG> before 28 November 2014.

- This could be as simple as:
  - I support the policy.



## Questions?



