



**RIPE
NCC**

RIPE NCC DNS Update

Anand Buddhdev

- Renewal of hardware at all existing global instances
- Upgrade to NSD 4
 - Introduction of BIND and Knot
- Single-server setups when renewing local instances
- Proposal for experiment on RIPE Labs
 - Lower latency and hop count
 - Improve coverage in less well-served areas



- Stockholm active since June 2014
 - 9 servers (3 per site)
- Network equipment diversity
 - Juniper and Cisco routers
- Name server diversity
 - 4 x BIND 9.10.1
 - 3 x Knot 1.6.0
 - 2 x NSD 4.1.0
- 100,000 q/s

- Two new servers in Amsterdam and Stockholm
- Slave zones update independently on each server
- Manually maintained master zones
 - Synchronise git repositories
- Dynamically updated zones
 - Update forwarding
 - Master-master replication
 - AMQP with two consumers
- Challenges
 - Synchronising zone serial numbers

- RIPE NCC zones signed with SHA-1 since 2005
 - In 2005, only SHA-1 was defined for DNSSEC
- In 2009, SHA-2 was defined (RFC 5702)
 - Root zone is signed with RSASHA256 in 2010
 - Resolvers must support SHA-2 for validating the root zone
- We should upgrade to SHA-2 for RIPE NCC zones
 - Current best practice
 - SHA-1 has known collisions and may be deprecated soon

- Signatures required with keys of both algorithms
 - CZNIC's report from OARC fall 2010 workshop
 - RFC 4035 section 2.2
 - Accurate timing required when adding keys and signatures
- Sign simultaneously with SHA-1 and SHA-2 keys
 - Secure64 signer does not support this
 - Need to go through an insecure phase
- Should we do an algorithm upgrade?

- ccTLD secondary agreement
- DNSMON
 - Visualisation delay
 - Criteria for adding new zones